DUGWAY PERMIT MODULE VII

ATTACHMENT 14

HWMU 43 POST-CLOSURE PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page No.		
		BLES			
		GURES			
		PENDICES			
LIST	OF AC	RONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	iii		
1.0	INTRODUCTION				
2.0	FAC	ILITY DESCRIPTION			
	2.1	HWMU 43 LOCATION AND HISTORY			
	2.2	PAST OPERATIONS			
	2.3	PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS DOCUMENTATION			
	2.4	CLOSURE ACTIVITIES	5		
	2.5	HUMAN HEALTH AND ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT	6		
	2.6	SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER	6		
	2.7	CLOSURE NOTIFICATIONS	6		
3.0	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS6				
	3.1	CONTINGENCY PLAN			
		3.1.1 Earthquakes			
		3.1.2 Floods or Major Storms			
		3.1.3 Fire			
4.0	SEIS	SMIC STANDARD	8		
5.0	FLO	ODPLAIN STANDARD	8		
6.0	POS'	T-CLOSURE OPERATIONS AND INSPECTIONS	8		
	6.1	INTRODUCTION			
	6.2	GROUNDWATER MONITORING			
	6.3	SITE INSPECTIONS	8		
	6.4	SURVEY MONUMENT INSPECTIONS			
	6.5	INSPECTION FOLLOW-UP			
7.0	SUBMITTALS/REPORTING				
	7.1	NON-COMPLIANCE REPORTING			
	7.2	BIENNIAL POST-CLOSURE REPORT			
	7.3	REQUIRED SUBMITTALS			
8.0	POS	T-CLOSURE CERTIFICATION	12		
0.0	DEE	FDENCES	12		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.
Table 1	Summary of HWMU 43 Post-Closure Information Requirements Under 40 CFR
	§270.14, UAC R315-3-2.19, and UAC R315-3-2.5
Table 2	DSHW Library Documents Detailing HWMU 43 Investigations
Table 3	Planned Sample Table Assessment Monitoring
Table 4	Aqueous Target Analytes, Practical Quantification Limits, and Regulatory Levels 11
Table 5	HWMU 43 Survey Monument Coordinates
Table 6	HWMU 43 Post-Closure Inspection Schedule
Table 7	Summary Table of Required Submittals
	LIST OF FIGURES
Figure 1	Dugway Proving Ground and HWMU 43 Location Map
Figure 2	HWMU 43 Location Map (English Village)
Figure 3	Topographic Map of HWMU 43
Figure 4	Final Construction and Surface Water Control Plan, Northern Region of HWMU 43
Figure 5	Final Construction and Surface Water Control Plan, Southern Region of HWMU 43
Figure 6	Hazardous Waste Management Unit 43 Groundwater Elevation Map
Figure 7	HWMU 43 Sections and Details

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A HWMU 43 Copy of Certification of Closure

LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

bgs Below Ground Surface
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DPG Dugway Proving Ground

DSHW Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste

Dugway Proving Ground

ft Feet

FWEC Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation

GCL Geosynthetic Clay Liner

GMA Groundwater Management Area Plan HWMU Hazardous Waste Management Unit

LEL Lower Explosive Limit
MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

MS/MSD Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

msl Mean Sea Level

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

RAP/RD Remedial Action Plan/Remedial Design RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Shaw Environmental, Inc.
TDS Total Dissolved Solids

TERC Total Environmental Restoration Contract

TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TOC Total Organic Carbon
TOX Total Organic Halides
UAC Utah Administrative Code
μg/L micrograms per liter

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USGS United States Geological Survey VOC Volatile Organic Compound

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The objectives of this Post-Closure Plan are 1) ensure Dugway Proving Ground (Dugway or DPG) complies with the Post-Closure Permit issued by the State of Utah in accordance with Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §265.117, with respect to post-closure inspection requirements; 2) prevent expose or contact with cover waste at this landfill site: and 3) monitor groundwater to detect releases from the waste or contaminated soil. To meet this objectives this Post-Closure Plan provides detailed information regarding the location, regulatory criteria, and post-closure inspections at Hazardous Waste Management Unit (HWMU) 43. Post-closure requirements will continue for a minimum of 30 years after closure of HWMU 43. The post-closure care period may be extended or shortened, as deemed necessary (40 CFR §265.117(a)(2)).

In accordance with Title 40 CFR §270.28 and Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R315-3-2.19, the Post-Closure Plan is required to include specific information for a closed facility. As applicable to HWMU 43, the information requirements include:

- General description of the facility;
- Description of security procedures;
- General inspection schedule;
- Preparedness and Prevention Plan;
- Facility location information (including seismic and flood plain considerations);
- Closure Plan or Closure Proposal;
- Certificate of Closure;
- Topographic map, with specific scale;
- Summary of groundwater monitoring data; and
- Identification of uppermost aguifer and interconnected aguifers.

Table 1 provides the regulatory citations for the general information requirements and the specific locations in this Post-Closure Plan where the specific information is presented.

Table 1: Summary of HWMU 43 Post-Closure Information Requirements Under 40 CFR §270.14, UAC R315-3-2.19, and UAC R315-3-2.5

Regulation Citation	Requirement Description	Location Requirement is Addressed
40 CFR §270.14(b)(1)	General Description of the	Section 2.0
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(1)	Facility	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(4)	Description of Security	Section 3.0
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(4)	Procedures	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(5)	General Inspection	Section 7.0, Module VII Table VII-3,
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(5)	Schedule	Module VII Form B
40 CFR §270.14(b)(6)	Preparedness and	Section 4.0
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(6)	Prevention	
40 CFR §§270.14(b)(11)(i-ii, v)	Facility Location	Section 5.0
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(11) (i-ii, v)	Information	
	Applicable seismic standard	
40 CFR §§270.14(b)(11) (iii-v)	Facility Location	Section 6.0
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(11) (iii-v)	Information	
	100-year floodplain	

Table 1: Summary of HWMU 43 Post-Closure Information Requirements Under 40 CFR §270.14, UAC R315-3-2.19, and UAC R315-3-2.5 (Continued)

Regulation Citation	Requirement Description	Location Requirement is Addressed
40 CFR §270.14(b)(13)	Copy of the Closure Plan	Closure Plan was open for public
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(13)		comment ending on September 17,
		2004 with no comments received.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(14)	Closure Certification and	Section 8.0 and Appendix A.
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(14)	Notification	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(16)	Post-Closure Cost Estimate	Federal Facilities are exempt from
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(16)		this requirement.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(18)	Proof of Financial	Federal Facilities are exempt from
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(18)	Coverage	this requirement.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Figure 3 (1 inch = 1000 feet).
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (i)	Map Scale and Date	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Section 5.0; HWMU 43 is not located
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (ii)	100-year floodplain area	within a verified 100-year floodplain
		area.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Figure 3.
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (iii)	Surface waters including	
10 CFR 9270 14(1)(10)	intermittent streams	1777 (17 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	HWMU 43 is within a military base.
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (iv)	Surrounding land uses	There are no nearby operations in the vicinity of HWMU 43.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	There are no residential populations
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (v)	A wind rose (i.e., prevailing	abutting HWMU 43. The closest
	windspeed and direction)	residential area is English Village
		(approximately 1.5 miles away). A
		wind rose is not deemed necessary for HWMU 43.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Figure 3.
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (vi)	Orientation of Map, North	
	Arrow	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map Legal	The fenced area is shown in Figures 4
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (vii)	boundaries of the hazardous	and 5.
	waste management facility.	
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	The fenced area and access gates are
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (viii)	Access control, fence, gates	shown in Figures 4 and 5.
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Figures 3 and 4; Water Supply
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (ix)	Injection and withdrawal	Wells 26, and 27 are located in the
	wells	vicinity of HWMU 43 (Well 26 is
		approximately 0.75 mile
		downgradient from HWMU 43,
		Well 27 is approximately 0.5 mile
		downgradient from HWMU 43).

Table 1: Summary of HWMU 43 Post-Closure Information Requirements Under 40 CFR §270.14, UAC R315-3-2.19, and UAC R315-3-2.5 (Continued)

Regulation Citation	Requirement Description	Location Requirement is Addressed
40 CFR §270.14(b)(19)	Topographic Map	Figures 4 and 5. HWMU 43 is graded
UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(19) (xi)	Barriers for drainage or	to drain surface water away from the
	flood control	engineered covers. There are no
		barriers to drainage or flood control
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(1)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Summary of Groundwater	with the English Village Groundwater
	Data	Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(2)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Identification of uppermost	with the English Village Groundwater
	aquifer	Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Figure 6; Post-closure groundwater
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(3)	Information	monitoring at HWMU 43 will be in
	Delineation of the Waste	compliance with the English Village
	Management Area	Groundwater Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(4)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Extent of Plume	with the English Village Groundwater
		Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(5)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Detailed Plans/Engineering	with the English Village Groundwater
	Report for Proposed	Management Plan.
	Groundwater Program	
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(6)(i)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Proposed List of	with the English Village Groundwater
	Parameters	Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(6)(ii)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Proposed Groundwater	with the English Village Groundwater
10.000	Monitoring System	Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(6)(iii)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	Background Values	with the English Village Groundwater
40 GFD 8270 147		Management Plan.
40 CFR §270.14(c)	Groundwater Monitoring	Post-closure groundwater monitoring
UAC R315-3-2.5(c)(6)(iv)	Information	at HWMU 43 will be in compliance
	A description of the	with the English Village Groundwater
	Proposed Sampling	Management Plan.

2.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The following provides a general description of HWMU 43, also known as the Old English Village Landfill at DPG, as required by UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(1) (Figures 1 and 2).

2.1 HWMU 43 LOCATION AND HISTORY

HWMU 43, also known as the former Old English Village Landfill, is located on Manookin Road, south of English Village, about 2,625 feet (ft) south of Stark Road (Figure 2). The elevation in the HWMU 43 area ranges from approximately 4,880 ft above mean sea level (msl) in the southwest and approximately 4860 ft msl in the northeast. Figure 3 presents a topographic map of HWMU43.

Originally, HWMU 43 consisted of a series of east-west trending trenches at the southern end of the unit that were filled with waste and then covered with native soil. Over the years, this unit was expanded to include an area of approximately 70 acres. Ultimately, a complete geophysical survey of the site was used to identify more than 43 trenches throughout HWMU 43 (Appendix C of HWMU 43 Remedial Action Plan/Remedial Design (RAP/RD) [Shaw, 2004]). The majority of these identified trenches were oriented in an east-west direction. Trench lengths varied from 400 to 2,000 ft in total length and were on average 30-35 ft wide. The located trenches were spaced anywhere from a few feet to several hundred feet apart.

2.2 PAST OPERATIONS

HWMU 43 was in operation from the early 1950s to 1987. During its operation, HWMU 43 was used to manage miscellaneous refuse from all DPG areas and was the primary landfill for English Village. Other major waste generators included the Avery, Ditto, and Baker areas, with significant minor contributions from the Carr Facility and Michael Army Airfield (EBASCO, 1993). Major wastes included domestic trash from residents and various offices, service facility refuse (motor pool, pest control, paint shop, and supply); and the U.S. Army Health Clinic (El Dorado Engineering, Incorporated, 1986). Accurate records concerning the total volumes of waste disposed at the site and the sources and nature of these wastes are not available.

2.3 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS DOCUMENTATION

The detailed results of previous material, soil, and groundwater sampling, and closure information including the risk assessment are available, for HWMU 43, in the Utah Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste (DSHW) public documents listed below in Table 2 (UAC R315-3-2.5(b)(13)).

Table 2: DSHW Library Documents Detailing HWMU 43 Investigations

Document Title	Received Date	DSHW Library No.
Ebasco Services Incorporated, 1993. <i>Final Nature and Extent Investigation Plan No. 9 – SWMUs 20, 37, 39, 42, and 43.</i> April.	04/93	00044
Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation (FWEC), 1995. SWMU Closures at Dugway Proving Ground, Interim Report, Volume 4, Appendix F-Results of Data Validation.	1995	00027
FWEC, 1998. Dugway Proving Ground Closure Plan, Module 3, HWMU 43 Final. May.	05/98	00029
Shaw Environmental, Inc., 2004. Final Remedial Action Plan and Remedial Design, Dugway Proving, Dugway, Utah, Revision 0. October.	10/04	00441
Shaw Environmental, Inc., 2005. Final Closure Certification Report For HWMU 43 Old English Village Landfill. September.	09/05	00486

2.4 CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

In compliance with UAC R315-7-21, closure at HWMU 43 has been completed with the construction of an engineered cover system consisting of a geomembrane-supported geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) placed over the identified waste trenches. Approval for the HWMU 43 Final Closure Certification Report (Shaw, 2005) was received in a letter dated October 3, 2005, from Mr. Dennis R. Downs, Utah Solid and Hazardous Waste Control Board. Appendix A includes a copy of the HWMU 43 Closure Certification signed and stamped by a Utah-licensed Professional Engineer.

The final cover system as designed and constructed satisfies the requirements of UAC R315-7-14 and R315-7-21 (by reference 40 CFR §265, Subpart N, 265.310) for the closure and post-closure of HWMU 43, namely:

- Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill;
- Function with minimum maintenance;
- Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover;
- Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the integrity of the cover is maintained; and
- Achieve a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural subsoils present.

In meeting the above performance standards, the major closure activities completed at HWMU 43 included:

- Installation of the final engineered cover system;
- Installation of a chain-link security fence around the engineered cover system; and
- Final grading of the site, including hydroseeding and enhancement of drainage features, to help control erosion and minimize long-term maintenance requirements.

These measures will prevent human contact with the waste and provide protection of groundwater. A post-closure inspection checklist for landfill sites designed to insure that these objectives are maintained is presented in Module VII, Form B.

Figure 4 and 5 show the final site location with the completed perimeter security fence and all entrance gates.

The investigative and closure activities performed at HWMU 43 are described in detail in the Final Closure Certification Report (Shaw, 2005).

2.5 HUMAN HEALTH AND ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Human health and ecological risk assessments were conducted and indicated that the remaining residual contamination detected in soil (outside of the trenches) and in groundwater at HWMU 43 does not pose an unacceptable risk as defined in UAC R315-101. The risk screening was performed for areas outside the cap, but within the fenced area risk, screening did take into consideration airborne particulates. In addition, vegetative cover planted on the cap and other previously disturbed areas will minimize the generation of soil particulates. The industrial cancer risk is less than 1E-04 and the Hazard Index is less than one. Ecological risks are expected to be minimal. The human and ecological risk assessments are presented in the Final Closure Certification Report (Shaw, 2004).

2.6 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER

No surface water features are evident in the area of HWMU 43. The general direction of surface drainage for the English Village Area is to the northeast, toward Skull Valley. The topography is relatively flat with an average 1.5-ft drop per 100 ft run. Surface drainage in the area immediately surrounding HWMU 43 is likely influenced by manmade features including roads and ditches (FWEC, 1995).

Based on the results of the previous eight years of groundwater sampling, there is no significant groundwater contamination in the vicinity of HWMU 43. However, due to the proximity of the site to the English Village water supply wells, post-closure groundwater monitoring will be conducted in accordance with the English Village Groundwater Management Area Plan (GMA).

2.7 CLOSURE NOTIFICATIONS

The Certification of Closure (Appendix A) was received and verified by the Executive Secretary of the Utah Solid and Hazardous Waste Control Board on July 2007.

Federal facilities are exempt from submitting notifications to the local zoning authority as required by 40 CFR §§264.116 and 264.119, which are incorporated by reference in UAC R315-8-7.

3.0 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

The Permittee shall comply with the following security conditions as applicable to HWMU 43:

- 1. HWMU 43 is located within a federal, military installation (DPG). As such, the installation is restricted for the common population.
- 2. In addition at HWMU 43, a fence is present around the Facility. Signs are present warning against unauthorized entry.
- 3. Verify that Security facilities are maintained and inspected throughout the post-closure care period. Dugway shall report to the Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste any decrease of Dugway's Base Security, which could affect the security conditions as applicable to HWMU 43.

4. Damaged security facilities shall be noted in the inspection checklist. Repairs shall be completed as soon as practicable after the problem is discovered, in compliance with R315-8-2.6(c).

3.1 CONTINGENCY PLAN

This section provides information about emergency response inspection procedures to be implemented in the event of any natural disaster in the DPG area that may affect the soil cover at HWMU 43. Module VII, Form B, provides a post-closure site inspection checklist for landfill sites.

The Dugway Emergency Response and Contingency Plan (Part B Permit), where applicable to this site, shall be used to announce and respond to emergency conditions. At a minimum, the site inspector should have a radio or phone and a First Aid kit available during inspections.

3.1.1 Earthquakes

Dugway Proving Ground is located in Seismic Zone 2 with a maximum acceleration of 0.2 gravity force (Shaw, 2004). In the event of a 6.5-magnitude or higher earthquake centered within 50 miles of the site, qualified personnel will visually inspect the landfill cap for signs of damage as soon as it is safe and practical to do so. Any damage to the landfill cap will be repaired to ensure the integrity of the cap. If the landfill cap has sustained extensive damage, Dugway will implement corrective actions to ensure that contaminants are contained and human health is protected. Post-earthquake site inspection records will be submitted to the Dugway Environmental Department.

Following an earthquake, the landfill and landfill cap will also be inspected for lateral shifting of debris. Settlement markers will be resurveyed to determine any horizontal or vertical movement of the cap.

3.1.2 Floods or Major Storms

In the event of a flood or major storm, Dugway will inspect the landfill cap to ensure its integrity within 72 hours of the event. A post-closure inspection checklist for landfill sites (Form B) is included in Module VII. A major storm is defined in this plan as a storm with 1 inch of precipitation or more over a 24-hour period. Any damage to the landfill cap will be repaired as soon as possible to ensure the integrity of the cap.

3.1.3 Fire

In the event of a surface fire near the landfill cap, the Dugway fire department will be notified and the Dugway integrated contingency plan will be implemented. In the event of a landfill fire, if the cap is observed to have been breached, other firefighting methods (such as using foam or smothering with dirt) will be considered and used, as appropriate. Following the incident, Dugway will perform a thorough inspection of the landfill cap using the post-closure checklist for landfill sites (Form B) included in Module VII, to ensure that the integrity of the soil cover has not been compromised and waste is not exposed. If there is fire damage, DPG will implement corrective actions to ensure that contaminants are contained and human health is protected.

4.0 SEISMIC STANDARD

HWMU 43 is not located within 200 ft of any active faults. Although Utah is tectonically active, most of the earthquake activity occurs about 55 miles to the east along the Wasatch Range Foothills.

A geologic map completed in a 1988 study by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) (Barnhard and Dodge, 1988), was used to determine the distribution, relative age, and amount and extent of surface rupture on Quaternary fault scarps, in the area of HWMU 43.

The USGS study (Barnhard and Dodge, 1988) concluded that morphologic and geologic data collected along the fault scarps in the area indicate that all were formed during the later Pleistocene era and there is not any clear evidence of Holocene surface rupture. Several faults inferred on geophysical evidence are located at DPG; however, there is no evidence of displacement during Holocene time.

5.0 FLOODPLAIN STANDARD

HWMU 43 is not located within a 100-year verified floodplain. The National Flood Insurance Rate Map, identifying the boundary of the 100-year flood, does not include DPG. These are no permanent streams or other surface water bodies on DPG.

Surface water from precipitation flows through drainage swales constructed or enhanced during the capping of HWMU 43. A culvert detail is shown in Figure 7. Most of the surface water evaporates rather than percolating into the ground. Like other arid regions, DPG is subject to flash flooding following high-precipitation events. Flash floods have occurred only four times in the history of the installation, in 1944, 1952, 1973, and 1983. The major area affected during flash floods has been the Government Creek drainage channel, which has overflowed and caused minor inundation of roads at the Ditto Technical Center.

6.0 POST-CLOSURE OPERATIONS AND INSPECTIONS

6.1 INTRODUCTION

HWMU 43 has been closed under the interim status landfill closure requirements. Disturbance of the waste will not be allowed. To ensure that the area is not reused or developed, annual site inspections and a biennial post-closure report shall be required.

6.2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Groundwater monitoring procedures will be in accordance with the English Village GMA.

6.3 SITE INSPECTIONS

General site inspections of the former HWMU 43 site shall be conducted semi-annually in April and October and within 72 hours after major storm events to ensure that the integrity of the engineered caps is maintained and to verify the Dugway Dig Permit process as described in Module VII.I has been followed. The frequency of inspections can be scaled back to once per year and after a major storm, once conditions of the landfill cap have stabilized over a minimum period of two years. A major storm is defined as one-inch or more of precipitation over a 24-hour period. Any modifications to the frequency of inspections will be in accordance with amendments submitted in the form of proposed permit modifications. Site inspections will consist of a complete walkthrough and visual inspection of the covered areas as well as

surface water drainage features. A general post-closure site inspection checklist for landfill sites (Form B) is included in Module VII. Completed inspection forms shall be filed with the Dugway Environmental Office.

For most routine repairs, corrective action should be initiated as soon as possible after identifying the problem or a directed by DPG. If the corrective action requires substantial effort and/or a technical plan, a brief plan will be prepared to summarize the problem, the potential impacts, and the time-frame in which corrective action will be implemented and the planning involved.

6.4 SURVEY MONUMENT INSPECTIONS

During each visit, each of the ten survey monuments installed during remediation (Figures 3 and 4) will be inspected to determine if any damage has made its use questionable for survey. If missing or badly damaged, it will be replaced as soon as possible after discovery of the problem.

As part of the routine inspection, settlement marker (denoted as SM-1 through SM-10 in Table 5) locations and elevations should be surveyed at least once per year for the first two years after construction. Once a settlement of 0.1 foot or less has been measured for two consecutive years, surveys can be scaled back to once every five years. The baseline northings, eastings, and elevations of the settlement markers are summarized below.

Survey Monument Northing (ft) Elevation (ft) Easting (ft) SWMU-43 7242118.28 1294181.32 4884.96 4847.37 SM-1 7243846.20 1296051.39 SM-2 7243436.95 1295391.53 4857.08 SM-3 7243217.63 1294029.64 4867.66 SM-4 7242982.65 4861.87 1294679.31 SM-5 7242582.82 1294591.61 4867.67 SM-6 7242645.59 1295191.11 4864.74 SM-7 7242188.94 1294590.83 4873.54 SM-8 7242275.00 1295392.62 4864.95 SM-9 7241727.53 1294987.12 4878.66 SM-10 7241482.80 1295765.55 4875.16

Table 5: HWMU 43 Survey Monument Coordinates

All surveying will be completed under the direction of a State of Utah-certified land surveyor.

Table 6 summarizes the Post-Closure Inspection Schedule for HWMU 43, and lists the items to be inspected and potential problems. Inspection personnel shall note any problems found and shall inform appropriate Dugway representatives.

Table 6: HWMU 43 Post-Closure Inspection Schedule

Inspection/Monitoring Item	Method of Documentation	Frequency of Inspection
Landfill Caps	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual
	Checklist for Landfill Sites(Form B,	
	Module VII)	
Settlement Markers	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual /
	Checklist for Landfill Sites(Form B,	Annual
	Module VII)	
Protective vegetation	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual
	Checklist for Landfill Sites(Form B,	
	Module VII)	
Signs and fence	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual
	Checklist for Landfill Sites (Form B,	
	Module VII)	
Drainage Swales	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual
	Checklist for Landfill Sites (Form B,	
	Module VII)	
Monitoring Wells	General Post-Closure Site Inspection	Semi-Annual /
	Checklist for Landfill Sites (Form B,	Annual
	Module VII)	

6.5 INSPECTION FOLLOW-UP

Copies of completed site inspection checklists (Form B, Module VII) shall be forwarded to the Dugway Environmental Office. The Point-of-Contact for the Dugway Environmental Office is as follows:

Environmental Programs Compliance Representative Dugway Proving Ground Environmental Program Office Dugway Proving Ground, UT 84022 Telephone: (435) 831-3560

The Dugway Environmental Office shall notify the appropriate personnel to implement corrective action as needed.

Corrective action shall be initiated as soon as practical after identifying the problem, or as directed by Dugway. If the corrective action requires substantial effort, a technical plan shall be prepared to summarize the problem, the potential impacts, the proposed plan for action, and the time-frame in which corrective action shall be implemented as required under this Permit. This plan shall be approved by the Executive Secretary and shall be submitted within 30 days of Dugway's decision to implement corrective action.

7.0 SUBMITTALS/REPORTING

Based on the evaluation presented in the Final Closure Certification Report for HWMU 43, post-closure inspection is required for HWMU 43. Groundwater monitoring is required. Groundwater elevation measurements and sample results will be provided in Excel spreadsheet format as required in Conditions VII.P.2 and VII.Q.2. A map showing well locations should be provided with the Excel file(s).

Groundwater data will be provided in the Biennial Post-Closure Report. In addition, groundwater data will be provided within 5 days of validation if contamination is detected, significant data quality issues occur, or reduced sample frequency is proposed.

7.1 NON-COMPLIANCE REPORTING

The conditions at HWMU 43 are such that the impact to human health and the environment is very unlikely. Hazardous wastes are no longer managed at the site. Nonetheless, if there is any type of non-compliance with any condition of this Permit, notifications shall be submitted per Permit Conditions VII.C.5.

7.2 BIENNIAL POST-CLOSURE REPORT

In accordance with UAC R315-3-3.1(1)(9), a Biennial Post-Closure Report shall be prepared for all Dugway closed HWMUs and SWMUs undergoing post-closure care by March 1, of the reporting year. The first Post-Closure report for HWMU 43 shall be due by March 2007. After this initial period, reporting years shall change to odd numbered years, with subsequent biennial reports due by March 1st of even numbered years, beginning in 2008. Specifically for HWMU 43, the Biennial Post-Closure Report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- General site description and conditions;
- Areas of cap repair or revegetation;
- Inspection records; and
- Groundwater monitoring results.

7.3 REQUIRED SUBMITTALS

Table 7 summarizes the requirements for the Biennial Post-Closure Report for HWMU 43 and reporting for any non-compliance issues.

Table 7: Summary Table of Required Submittals

Required Submittals	Frequency and Submittal Date
Biennial Post-Closure Report	Post-Closure Reports shall be submitted to the DSHW no later than March, of the year the report is due. Reporting years are even numbered years beginning with 2006 and odd numbered years beginning 2007 for the duration of the Post-Closure Monitoring Period.
Non-Compliance Reporting	
Anticipated Non-Compliance	30 days advance notice of any change which may result in noncompliance
24-hour Notification for information concerning the non-compliance, which may endanger public drinking water supplies or human health or the environment	Orally within 24 hours of discovery
Five-day written notification for information concerning the non-compliance, which may endanger public drinking water supplies or human health or the environment including evidence of groundwater contamination, significant data quality issues, or a request for reduced monitoring frequency. The Executive Secretary may waive the 5-day notice, in favor of a 15-day notice	Within 5 days of discovery
Written notification for information concerning the non-compliance, which does not endanger human health or the environment.	Submitted when the Biennial Post Closure Reports are submitted.

8.0 POST-CLOSURE CERTIFICATION

No later than 60 days after post-closure activities are completed and approved by the Executive Secretary, Dugway representatives shall submit a certification to the Board, signed by Dugway and an independent professional engineer registered in the State of Utah, stating why post-closure care is no longer needed.

9.0 REFERENCES

Barnhard, T.P. and R.L. Dodge, 1988. *Map of Fault Scarps Formed on Unconsolidated Sediments, Tooele 1° x 2° Quadrangle, Northwestern Utah*, United States Geological Survey.

Ebasco Services Incorporated (Ebasco), 1993. Final Nature and Extent Investigation Plan No. 9 – SWMUs 20, 37, 39, 42, and 43. April.

El Dorado Engineering, Incorporated, 1986. *Hazardous Waste Study for Dugway Proving Ground, Volumes I, II, and III.*

Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation (FWEC), 1995. SWMU Closures at Dugway Proving Ground, Interim Report, Volume 4, Appendix F-Results of Data Validation.

FWEC, 1998. Dugway Proving Ground Closure Plan, Module 3, HWMU 43 Final. May.

IT Corporation, 2003. Final Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan & Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Detection and Assessment Monitoring Program, Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah, Revision 3, October.

Shaw Environmental, Inc. (Shaw), 2004. *Final Remedial Action Plan and Remedial Design*, Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah, Rev. 0. October.

Shaw, 2005. Final Closure Certification Report, for HWMU 43 Old English Village Landfill, Dugway Proving Ground, Utah. September.

FIGURES

APPENDIX A

COPY OF CERTIFICATION OF CLOSURE